

2017 MUNICIPAL & SPECIAL PRIMARY ELECTION AFFIDAVIT SIGNATURE AUDIT RESULTS

In accordance with Utah Code Annotated §20A-3-302(7), the Lieutenant Governor's Office established procedures to conduct an audit of affidavit signatures for mail ballots. The following vote by-mail jurisdictions performed this audit by comparing voters' affidavit signatures on ballot envelopes with voters' signatures in the statewide voter registration database for a second or third time:

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Affidavit Signatures Audited</u>
Box Elder County	2
Carbon County	20
Davis County	232
Grand County	37
Iron County	50
Salt Lake County	805
San Juan County	50
Sanpete County	20
Sevier County	49
Summit County	90
Utah County	400
Wasatch County	74
Weber County	97

A total of 1,926 affidavit signatures were audited. The audit determined the following:

- 1,922 (99.8%) affidavit signatures were correctly identified as either a match or non-match during the initial verification process; and
- 4 (0.2%) affidavit signatures were identified as a match during the initial verification process, but they were identified as non-matches during the audit.

The benefit of any audit process is that it provides an opportunity to identify areas where improvement can be made. As a result of this audit, county clerks have learned that the following steps can be taken to improve policies and procedures:

- Establishing new criteria for staff members during the initial signature inspection that standardizes the measurement of the signature comparison;
- Increasing the percentage of signatures that are audited on a daily basis; and
- Adding supplementary training for staff on signature verification.

With the addition of these improvements, we continue to be confident in the policies and procedures followed by county clerk staff in providing a secure voting method that voters and candidates can trust.

The following pages of this document are the individual reports for each county that performed an affidavit signature audit. General questions regarding the audit can be directed to the Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office at 801-538-1041 or elections@utah.gov. For specific questions regarding a county's audit, please contact the appropriate county clerk.



Audit Summary Report

County: Box Elder

Election Date: 08/15/2017

Election Official Signature: [Signature] Signature Date: 08/17/2017

TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: _____
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: _____
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: _____
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: _____
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?
☐ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?
☐ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 2
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: _____
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: _____
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: _____
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: _____
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



Audit Summary Report

County: Carbon Election Date: 8/15/2017

Election Official Signature: [Signature] Signature Date: 8/21/2017

TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 45
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 45
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: 1
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: 15
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?
☒ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?
☒ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 20
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: N/A
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: N/A
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: N/A
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: N/A
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



Audit Summary Report

County: Davis

Election Date: August 15, 2017

Election Official Signature: [Signature]

Signature Date: Aug. 22, 2017

TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: NA
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: NA
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: NA
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: NA
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?
☐ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?
☐ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 232
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?
☒ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: NA
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: NA
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: NA
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: NA
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



Davis
COUNTY

Clerk/Auditor

Davis County Administration Building - P.O. Box 618 - Farmington Utah 84025
Telephone: (801) 451-3213 - Fax: (801) 451-3421

Curtis Koch, MBA, CGFM
Clerk/Auditor

RE: 2017 Municipal Primary Signature Audit

On August 21, 2017 an audit was conducted of the signature verification process for the 2017 Municipal Primary Election. The following batches were selected by the Lieutenant Governor's Office for this audit:

- Batch # 4 (48 ballots)
- Batch # 86 (49 Ballots)
- Batch # 251 (44 Ballots)
- Batch # 279 (45 Ballots)
- Batch # 346 (46 Ballots)

A team of three individuals was used in this audit comprised of Brian McKenzie, Julie Hadley, and Amy Gould.

Each signature tab was compared to the signature on file with the voter registration record, during the review the team identified three (3) signatures that did not appear to be a complete match.



Audit Summary Report

County: GRAND

Election Date: 8/15/2017

Election Official Signature: Jana Smith

Signature Date: 8/17/17

TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 1
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 1
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: 1
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: 1
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?
☒ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?
☒ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 37
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: _____
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: _____
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: _____
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: _____
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



Audit Summary Report

County: Iron Election Date: 8/15/17
Election Official Signature: Cynthia K. Robinson Signature Date: 8/29/17

TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 15
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 15
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: 2
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: _____
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?
☐ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?
☐ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 50
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: _____
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: _____
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: _____
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: _____
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



Audit Summary Report

County: Salt Lake

Election Date: 08-15-2017

Election Official Signature: Shirley Swenson

Signature Date: August 30, 2017

TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 225
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 225
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: 1
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: 0
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?
☒ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?
☒ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 473/805
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?
☒ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: _____
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: _____
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: _____
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: _____
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

**Salt Lake County
2017 Primary Election
Audit Summary Report**

Addendum

Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary

Question #9:

473 paper ballots were audited for Congressional District 3.

A total of 805 signatures were audited.

Question #11:

Of the 805 signatures that were audited:

One signature in Midvale Council 1 – Passed as good. Audit concluded it was not a match.



Audit Summary Report

County: San Juan Election Date: 8/15/2017

Election Official Signature: John David Nielson Signature Date: 8/17/2017

TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 4
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 4
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: 1
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: 0
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?
☒ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters*)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?
☒ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters*)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters*)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters*)

Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary *(complete only if applicable)*

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 50
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs*)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies*)

Recount Audit Summary *(complete only if applicable)*

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: _____
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: _____
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: _____
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: _____
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters*)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters*)



Audit Summary Report

County: Sanpete County

Election Date: August 15, 2017

Election Official Signature: *Sandy Neill*

Signature Date: August 15, 2017

TSx Machine Audit Summary (N/A)

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: _____
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: _____
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: _____
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: _____
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?
YES NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?
YES NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
YES* NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
YES* NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballot signatures audited: 20 (Mt Pleasant batch 19)
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?
YES* NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?
NO (*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: _____
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: _____
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: _____
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: _____
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
YES* NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
YES* NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



Audit Summary Report

County: Sevier

Election Date: 08/15/2017

Election Official Signature: [Signature]

Signature Date: 08/21/2017

TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 0
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 0
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: 0
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: 0
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?
☐ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?
☐ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 49
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: _____
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: _____
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: _____
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: _____
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



Audit Summary Report

County: Summit

Election Date: 8/15/17

Election Official Signature: [Signature]

Signature Date: 8/25/17

TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: _____
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: _____
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: _____
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: _____
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?
☐ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?
☐ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary *(complete only if applicable)*

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 90
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

Recount Audit Summary *(complete only if applicable)*

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: _____
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: _____
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: _____
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: _____
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



Audit Summary Report

County: Utah

Election Date: Aug 15, 2017

Election Official Signature: [Signature]

Signature Date: 8/28/17

TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: _____
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: _____
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: _____
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: _____
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?
YES NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?
YES NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
YES* NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
YES* NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 400
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?
YES* NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?
YES* NO (*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: _____
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: _____
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: _____
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: _____
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
YES* NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
YES* NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



Audit Summary Report

County: _____ Election Date: _____

Election Official Signature: _____ Signature Date: _____

TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: _____
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: _____
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: _____
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: _____
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?
YES NO* *(*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)*
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?
YES NO* *(*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)*
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
YES* NO *(*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)*
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
YES* NO *(*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)*

Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary *(complete only if applicable)*

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: _____
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?
YES* NO *(*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)*
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?
YES* NO *(*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)*

Recount Audit Summary *(complete only if applicable)*

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: _____
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: _____
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: _____
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: _____
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
YES* NO *(*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)*
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
YES* NO *(*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)*



Audit Summary Report

County: Weber

Election Date: Aug 15, 2017

Election Official Signature: [Signature]

Signature Date: Aug 23, 2017

TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 85
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 85
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: 2
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: 0
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?
☒ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?
☒ YES ☐ NO* (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 97
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?
☐ YES* ☒ NO (*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: _____
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: _____
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: _____
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: _____
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?
☐ YES* ☐ NO (*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)