

**2017 MUNICIPAL & SPECIAL GENERAL ELECTION  
AFFIDAVIT SIGNATURE AUDIT RESULTS**

In accordance with Utah Code Annotated §20A-3-302(7), the Lieutenant Governor's Office established procedures to conduct an audit of affidavit signatures for mail ballots. The following vote by-mail jurisdictions performed this audit by comparing voters' affidavit signatures on ballot envelopes with voters' signatures in the statewide voter registration database for a second or third time:

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Affidavit Signatures Audited</u>
Beaver County	12
Box Elder County	11
Carbon County	50
Davis County	541
Grand County	50
Iron County	60
Kane County	14
Salt Lake County	1906
San Juan County	50
Sanpete County	61
Sevier County	49
Summit County	72
Uintah County	49
Utah County	981
Wasatch County	58
Weber County	400

A total of 4,364 affidavit signatures were audited. The audit determined that all 4,364 affidavit signatures were correctly identified as either a match or non-match during the initial verification process.

The following pages of this document are the individual reports for each county that performed an affidavit signature audit. General questions regarding the audit can be directed to the Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office at 801-538-1041 or [elections@utah.gov](mailto:elections@utah.gov). For specific questions regarding a county's audit, please contact the appropriate county clerk.



# Audit Summary Report

County: Beaver

Election Date: 11/7/2017

Election Official Signature: [Signature] Signature Date: 11/14/17

## TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 0
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 0
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  
☐ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  
☐ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

## Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 12
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

## Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: \_\_\_\_\_
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



# Audit Summary Report

County: Box Elder

Election Date: 11/07/2017

Election Official Signature: *Linda Purdum*

Signature Date: 11/20/2017

## TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 43
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 54
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: 0
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: 0
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

## Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 11
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

## Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: \_\_\_\_\_
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



# Audit Summary Report

County: Carbon Election Date: 11-7-17

Election Official Signature: [Signature] Signature Date: 11-14-17

## TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 45
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 48
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: 1
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: 0
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

## Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 50 one batch
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

## Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: \_\_\_\_\_
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



# Audit Summary Report

County: Davis

Election Date: Nov. 7, 2017

Election Official Signature: [Signature]

Signature Date: Nov. 13, 2017

## TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 81
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 81
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: 2
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: 0
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

## Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 541
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

## Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: \_\_\_\_\_
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



# Audit Summary Report

County: Grand

Election Date: 11/07/2017

Election Official Signature: Jana Smith

Signature Date: 11/14/2017

## TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 1
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 1
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: 1
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

## Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 50 \* No Qualified Write-Ins
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

## Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: \_\_\_\_\_
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



# Audit Summary Report

County: Iron Election Date: 11-7-17  
Election Official Signature: Cynthia K. Robinson Signature Date: 11-15-17

## TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  
☐ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  
☐ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

## ✓ Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 60
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

## Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: \_\_\_\_\_
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



# Audit Summary Report

County: Lane

Election Date: Nov. 7, 2017

Election Official Signature: Karl Johnson

Signature Date: 11-9-17

## TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  
☐ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  
☐ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

## Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 14
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

## Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: \_\_\_\_\_
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)





# Audit Summary Report

County: Salt Lake Election Date: 11/7/2017

Election Official Signature: Sherrie Swensen Signature Date: 11/16/17

## TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 400
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 400
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: 20
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: 0
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

## Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 1906 Ball
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

## Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: \_\_\_\_\_
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



# Audit Summary Report

County: San Juan

Election Date: November 7, 2017

Election Official Signature: John David Nelson

Signature Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 4
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 4
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: 1
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: 0
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

## Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: SD
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

## Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: \_\_\_\_\_
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



# Audit Summary Report

County: Sanpete

Election Date: 11/07/2017

Election Official Signature: Sandy Neill

Signature Date: 11/9/2017

## TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  
☐ YES ☐ NO\* *(\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)*
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  
☐ YES ☐ NO\* *(\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)*
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO *(\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)*
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO *(\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)*

## Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary *(complete only if applicable)*

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 61
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO *(\*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)*
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO *(\*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)*

## Recount Audit Summary *(complete only if applicable)*

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: \_\_\_\_\_
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO *(\*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)*
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO *(\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)*



# Audit Summary Report

County: Sevier

Election Date: 11/7/2017

Election Official Signature: [Signature]

Signature Date: 11/13/2017

## TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 6
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 6
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: 0
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: 0
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

## Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 49
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

## Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: \_\_\_\_\_
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



# Audit Summary Report

County: Summit

Election Date: 11/7/17

Election Official Signature: [Signature]

Signature Date: 11/28/17

## TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  
☐ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  
☐ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

## Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 72
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

## Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: \_\_\_\_\_
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)





# Audit Summary Report

County: Uintah Election Date: Nov 7, 2017

Election Official Signature: Michael W. Wilkins Signature Date: NOV. 9, 2017

## TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  
☐ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  
☐ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

## Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 49
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?  
NA ☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

## Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: \_\_\_\_\_
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



# Audit Summary Report

County: Utah

Election Date: 11-7-17

Election Official Signature: [Signature]

Signature Date: 11-21-17

## TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  
☐ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  
☐ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

## Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 981
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

## Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: \_\_\_\_\_
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☐ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)



# Audit Summary Report

County: Wasatch County Election Date: 11/7/2017

Election Official Signature: Barry Hallows Signature Date: 11/17/2017

## TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 5
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 5
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: 1
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: 0
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

## Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 58
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

## Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: None
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race:
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race:
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period:
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)





# Audit Summary Report

County: Weber

Election Date: 11/7/2017

Election Official Signature: [Signature]

Signature Date: 11/22/2017

## TSx Machine Audit Summary

1. Number of TSx voting machines used in the Election: 85
2. Number of journal tape canisters used for the Election: 85
3. Number of TSx voting machines audited: 2
4. Number of audited TSx voting machines that were also used during the early voting period: 0
5. Were tamper evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6. Did all TSx machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?  
☒ YES ☐ NO\* (\*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
7. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
8. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)

## Mail Ballot & Signature Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

9. Number of mail ballots and signatures audited: 400
10. Were there any differences between the optical scanner (OS) results and the hand counted results for any ballots?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the applicable hand count logs)
11. Did the signature audit reveal any inconsistencies between the first signature verification and the audit?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach an explanation of the inconsistencies)

## Recount Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)

12. Name of ballot proposition or office recounted: \_\_\_\_\_
13. Number of TSx machines that recorded votes in the recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
14. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race: \_\_\_\_\_
15. Number of TSx machines audited for recount race that were also used during the early voting period: \_\_\_\_\_
16. Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
17. Were there any differences between the TSx machine results and hand counted results for any audited machine?  
☐ YES\* ☒ NO (\*If yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)